



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
09/801,140	03/06/2001	Robert Olan Keith JR.	ABREAU-00106	6018	
28960	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
	LE, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, ,		2161		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



•					1/1
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		N/
	09/801,140		KEITH, ROBERT	OLAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	CamLinh N		2171		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statute eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.	
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 25</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is no owance except for	or formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims	·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from cons				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				ER 1 121/d	١
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					,.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	nents have been nents have been priority documer ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage	
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	r (PTO-413) ate.		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6		O-152)	
S. Palent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2171

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 06/04/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- ♦ Applicant argues that Witek's dichotomous key search is different with the dichotomous key search of the invention (page 2 of the remark). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is well known in the art that any yes-no question is a binary key structure or two-node tree. Witek discloses this key search by providing yes-no question in the form of check box (See col.16, lines 27 - 41). If the user checks the box (means yes) the system will search for the node that has this feature. Therefore, the search system will traverse down the tree structure.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the definition of dichotomous and the way to use it as disclosed on page 18, lines 6 - 10 of the disclosure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

◆ Applicant argues that Witek does not teach accessing a node within the directory tree structure using a query string (page 3 of the Remark). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the different between query and query string, where the query string as claimed in the invention is described as a command string written in a specific query language (page 3 of the Remark) are not recited

Art Unit: 2171

in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Witek discloses a search system that allows the users to input search string (such as keywords, search options, see Fig. 10). Those keywords are represented a string. Therefore, there is no different between the queries in Witek with the query string in the instance invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-13, 16-23, 26-33, 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witek et al (U.S. 6,253,188)
- ♦ As per claim 1, 11, 21, 31,

Witek teaches a method of accessing information in a searchable database comprising:

- "The searchable database is formatted in a directory tree structure" See Fig. 4, col. 11, lines 20-25, col. 18, lines 1-32.
- "The directory tree structure includes nodes ... branches" See fig. 4. Each category corresponds to a node. All nodes are linked together.

Art Unit: 2171

- "Each related item of data is categorized by a navigation path through the directory tree structure and by one or more parameters" Witek teaches that: "Web sites and associated pages are prepared with HTML and include "links" to other locations and resources on the Web, the links being the features which enables a user, as noted, to "navigate" from one point or information resource to another, thereby, providing the Web with its dynamic character (col. 23, lines 11 22.) Therefore, the link corresponds to the path that is used to access to the resource. Witek discloses a method to category documents by identifying the parameters and associated values (col. 50, lines 56 67). Based on these values, the document is assigned to a category, and created link to the document.
- "Each parameter is set with a corresponding value associated with the data item thereby forming a set parameter" see Fig. 3, col. 15, lines 25 26, col. 51, lines 1 11.
- Users access the directory by a query. The query includes keywords that defined the navigation path. Users access the directory by a query (See Fig. 10, Witek); therefore the navigation path is defined by a query language string.
- ♦ As per claim 2-3, 12-13, 22-23, 32-33, Witck teaches:
  - "Manually traversing the navigation path through the directory tree structure to access the node" See Fig. 8 10. A user can specify the resource by selecting a category and set up one or more set parameters as shown in Fig. 10. In other words, the data item is accessed by a navigation path and parameters set.
  - "Utilizing a selective one or more.... parametric search" "A dichotomous key search" See Fig. 3, element 70, col. 16, lines 27 50, Fig. 10, element 144 146. As defined in the Specification a "dichotomous key search" is used to instruct users given in an answer

Art Unit: 2171

or question dialog, often yes or no answer (Specification, page 18, lines 6-8). In fig. 10, Witek also gives the users the options of answer questions by checking the boxes. Therefore, this search option is corresponding to the "dichotomous key search". " A parametric search" See Fig. 10, elements 154, 158, 160, 142, col. 30, lines 10-29.

- ◆ As per claim 6, 16, 26, 36,
  - "The related data includes one or more of text, graphics, objects, links to other nodes within the directory tree structure" See Fig. 8 10, col. 23, lines 44 48, col. 24, lines 10 16 of Witek.
- ◆ As per claim 7, 17, 27, 37,
  - "The searchable database is distributed into more than one physical location" See Fig. 1, element 20, col. 9, lines 53 col. 10, lines 5, col. 25, lines 37 44 of Witek.
- $\bullet$  As per claim 8 10, 18 20, 28 30, 38,
  - "Accessing a nodes is performed by a server" See Fig. 1, 5A, col. 25, lines 13 33 of Witek.
  - "Establishing an Internet connection with the server" See Fig. 5a, element 14, 24, col. 21, lines 15 20 of Witek.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 14-15, 24-25, 34-35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witek et al (U.S. 6,098,066) in view of Drucker et al (U.S 6,292,796).

♦ As per claim 4, 14, 24, 34,

Witek teaches a method for access information in a specific node, but does not clearly teach how the navigation path is saved as the query string.

However, Drucker, on the other hand, discloses a method for searching document by specify the navigation path, such as selecting subjects, keyword search, etc. as illustrated in Fig. 1 (col. 2 line 31 - 48, Drucker). The access mechanism includes user setup which allows user specify search preferences (col. 6 line 63 - col. 7 line 6, Drucker). Those preferences are saved for later modification (See Fig. 10 - 11, Drucker).

By saving the query or search preferences, Drucker teaches, "the navigation path is saved as query string". It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Drucker about the saving user preferences into the system of Witek, because the system of Drucker provides a great benefits in saving time for users (col. 1 line 56 - 58, Drucker). The combination of Drucker and Witek produces a convenience search engine for users, where user does not familiar with the system and does not have a lot of time for a search query.

♦ As per claim 5,15, 25, 35, the combination system of Witek and Drucker discloses:

Art Unit: 2171

The user preferences are saved in the system, so when the new data is available, the user is notified (col. 7 line 54 - 59, Drucker). The user does not need to manually traverse the navigation path to search for information.

### Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 - 305-1951.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

From October 25, 2004, the Examiner can be reached at a new phone number: 571 – 272 – 4024.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/801,140

Art Unit: 2171

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cam-Linh Nguyen Art Unit 2171

LN

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100